

RATIONAL CONVEX CONES AND CYCLOTOMIC MULTIPLE ZETA VALUES

TOMOHIDE TERASOMA

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we introduce zeta values of rational convex cones in a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{Q}$, which is a generalization of cyclotomic multiple zeta values. Cyclotomic multiple zeta values appears in the period integral for the fundamental group of $\mathbf{C}^\times - \mu_N$, where μ_N is the subgroup of N -th root of unities in $\mathbf{C}^\times$. The reader may find references for cyclotomic multiple zeta values in [R].

Let C be a rational convex cone in $\mathbf{R}^m$ and $l_1, \dots, l_n$ be rational linear forms on $\mathbf{Q}^m$ which is positive on the interior of C . Let χ be a finite order character of $\mathbf{Z}^m$. The summation of

$$\frac{\chi(x)}{l_1(x) \cdots l_n(x)}$$

over the integral points x in the interior of C is denoted by $\zeta_C(l_1, \dots, l_n, \chi)$ and called the zeta value of the convex cone C . Our main theorem asserts that the zeta value of a convex cone C can be expressed as a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of cyclotomic multiple zeta values, where $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ denotes the extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ adjoining all roots of unities. Here we give the outline of this paper. After defining zeta values of convex cones, we show that the zeta values have integral expressions. Motivated by these integral expressions, we introduce one variable functions $I(y_n)$ and we compare them with cyclotomic multiple polylogarithms, whose special values are cyclotomic multiple zeta values. The zeta values of C can be expressed as an integral of $I(y_n)$'s. We study sequence of differential equations satisfied by these functions $I(y_n)$'s and compare cyclotomic multiple polylogarithm and $I(y_n)$'s. Main theorem is a consequence of these relations of functions.

By integral expressions of zeta values of cones, these zeta values can be regarded as period integrals of varieties of pairs of some open parts of toric varieties and sub-tori. Related varieties are defined as follows. Let $(\mathbf{C}^\times)^n$ be a torus and

$$\phi_i, \psi_j : (\mathbf{C}^\times)^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$$

be surjective homomorphisms for $i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, m'$. We define divisors $D_i = \phi_i^{-1}(1), B_j = \psi_j^{-1}(1)$. We set $U = (\mathbf{C}^\times)^n - \cup_i D_i$ and $B =$

Date: February 1, 2008.

$U \cap (\cup_j B_j)$. Then the relative cohomology $H^i(U; B, \mathbf{Q})$ is of mixed Tate type. By our main theorem, the period integral of $H^i(U; B, \mathbf{Q})$ can be expressed in terms of cyclotomic multiple zeta values. In this paper, we produce concrete algorithm to get the expression of zeta values of cones by cyclotomic multiple zeta values.

2. CYCLOTOMIC MULTIPLE ZETA VALUES AND ZETA VALUES OF CONVEX CONES

In this and next sections, we give the definition of zeta values of convex cones and their integral expression.

Definition 2.1. (1) Let $N, k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 1$ be natural numbers and $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m)$ be a homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}^m$ to μ_N . We assume that $k_m \neq 1$ if $\chi(0, \dots, 0, 1)$ is a trivial character. We define a cyclotomic multiple zeta value $\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_m, \chi)$ by

$$\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_m, \chi) = \sum_{a=(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in (\mathbf{N}^\times)^m} \frac{\chi(a)}{a_1^{k_1} (a_1 + a_2)^{k_2} \cdots (a_1 + \cdots + a_m)^{k_m}},$$

where $\mathbf{N}^\times = \mathbf{N} - \{0\}$. The natural number N is called the modulus of the cyclotomic multiple zeta values.

(2) Let μ_N be the subgroup of N -th root of unities in $\mathbf{C}^\times$ and $\mu_\infty = \cup_N \mu_N$. We put $\mathbf{Q}^{ab} = \cup_{N \geq 1} \mathbf{Q}(\mu_N)$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_N$ be the $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -sub linear space of $\mathbf{C}$ generated by multiple zeta values of modulus N , i.e.

$$\mathcal{Z}_N = \langle \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_m, \chi) \mid \chi \text{ is a character whose values are contained in } \mu_N, k_m \neq 1 \text{ if } \chi(0, \dots, 0, 1) = 1 \rangle$$

We define $\mathcal{Z}_\infty$ by the linear hull of $\mathcal{Z}_N$ for all $N \geq 1$.

Definition 2.2 (Rational convex cone). (1) A closed convex set C in $\mathbf{R}^m$ is called a rational convex cone if there exist finite number of rational vectors $v_1, \dots, v_b$ such that

$$C = \mathbf{R}_+ v_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{R}_+ v_b.$$

Moreover, if we can choose linearly independent vectors $v_1, \dots, v_b$ over $\mathbf{R}$, C is called a simplicial cone. The interior of the cone C in the $\mathbf{R}$ -linear hull of C is denoted by C^0 .

(2) A subset σ of a convex cone C is called a face of C if there exists a linear form l of $\mathbf{Q}^m$ such that (1) $l(\sigma) \geq 0$, and (2) $\sigma = \{x \mid l(x) = 0\} \cap C$.

(3) Let χ be a character of $\mathbf{Z}^m$ and $l_1, \dots, l_n$ be rational $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear forms on $\mathbf{Q}^m$ such that $l_i(C^0) > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). We define $\zeta_C(l_1, \dots, l_n, \chi)$ by

$$\zeta_C(l_1, \dots, l_n, \chi) = \sum_{x \in C^0 \cap \mathbf{Z}^m} \frac{\chi(x)}{l_1(x) \cdots l_n(x)},$$

if the sum is absolutely convergent. $\zeta_C(l_1, \dots, l_m, \chi)$ is called a zeta value of a convex cone C .

The main theorem of this paper is the following.

Theorem 2.3. *The value $\zeta_C(l_1, \dots, l_n, \chi)$ is an element of $\mathcal{Z}_\infty$.*

Remark 2.4. (1) *Cyclotomic multiple zeta values that converge absolutely are special case of multiple zeta values for convex cones and linear forms.*

(2) *A proto-type of this summation can be found in Zagier's paper [Z].*

(3) *In this paper, we give an explicit algorithm to compute the value of $\zeta_C(l_1, \dots, l_n, \chi)$.*

3. FIRST REDUCTION AND INTEGRAL EXPRESSION

In this section, we reduce the main theorem to the case where C is a simplicial cone and the semi group $C \cap \mathbf{Z}^m$ is freely generated by integral m elements. Moreover we show that the zeta value of a convex cone C admits an integral representation.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $L \supset \mathbf{Z}^m$ be a lattice in $\mathbf{Q}^m$ such that the index $\kappa = [L : \mathbf{Z}^m]$ is finite. Let C be a rational convex cone in $\mathbf{R}^m$. Let $\chi : \mathbf{Z}^m \rightarrow \mu_N$ be a character and*

$$\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{Z}^m}^L(\chi) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\kappa} \chi_i$$

be the absolutely irreducible decomposition of $\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{Z}^m}^L(\chi)$. Then we have

$$\sum_{x \in C^0 \cap \mathbf{Z}^m} \frac{\chi(x)}{l_1(x) \cdots l_n(x)} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \sum_{x \in C^0 \cap L} \frac{\chi_i(x)}{l_1(x) \cdots l_n(x)}.$$

Moreover if the left hand side converges absolutely, then each sums of the right hand side converges absolutely.

Proof. For $x \in L$, we have an equality

$$\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{Z}^m}^L(\chi)(x) = \begin{cases} [L : \mathbf{Z}^m] \chi(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{Z}^m \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbf{Z}^m. \end{cases}$$

Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ be a coordinate of $\mathbf{Z}^m$. The condition for absolutely convergence of the summation for the left hand side (resp. right hand side) is equivalent to the finiteness of the integral

$$\int_D \frac{1}{l_1(x) \cdots l_n(x)} dx_1 \cdots dx_m$$

where D is the convex hull of $C^0 \cap \mathbf{Z}^m$ (resp. $C^0 \cap L$). Therefore two conditions are equivalent. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $C = \mathbf{R}_+^m$. Let $l_1, \dots, l_n$ be non-zero linear forms on $\mathbf{Q}^m$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &= l_{11}x_1 + \cdots + l_{1m}x_m \\ &\dots \\ l_n &= l_{n1}x_1 + \cdots + l_{nm}x_m. \end{aligned}$$

with $l_{ij} \in \mathbf{N}$. Let χ be a character of $\mathbf{Z}^n$ of finite order. We put

$$M_1 = y_1^{l_{11}} \cdots y_n^{l_{n1}}, \dots, M_m = y_1^{l_{1m}} \cdots y_n^{l_{nm}}.$$

Then we have

(3.1)

$$\zeta_C(l_1, \dots, l_n, \chi) = \int_{(0,1)^n} \frac{\chi(1, \dots, 1) M_1 \cdots M_m}{(1 - \chi(\mathbf{e}_1) M_1) \cdots (1 - \chi(\mathbf{e}_m) M_m)} \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \cdots \frac{dy_n}{y_n},$$

where $\mathbf{e}_i = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{i}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$, if the left hand side exists.

Proof. For $x \in \mathbf{Z}^m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\chi(x)}{l_1(x) \cdots l_n(x)} &= \int_{(0,1)^n} \chi(x) y_1^{l_1(x)} \cdots y_n^{l_n(x)} \frac{dy_1 \cdots dy_n}{y_1 \cdots y_n} \\ &= \int_{(0,1)^n} \chi(x) M_1^{x_1} \cdots M_m^{x_m} \frac{dy_1 \cdots dy_n}{y_1 \cdots y_n}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the summation for $x \in (\mathbf{N}^\times)^m$ and using the absolutely convergent condition for summation, we change the integration and summation. Thus we get the theorem. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *For any rational cone C , character χ of $\mathbf{Z}^m$ and linear forms $l_1, \dots, l_n$ with $l_i(C^0) > 0$, the zeta value of $\zeta_C(l_1, \dots, l_n, \chi)$ can be expressed as a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of integrals of the form (3.1).*

Proof. We choose a decomposition $C = \cup_{i=1}^k C_i$ of rational convex cone C , by rational m -dimensional simplicial cones C_i . Then C^0 is a disjoint union of open part of simplicial cones, which is denoted as

$$C^0 = \coprod_{i=1}^{\kappa} D_i \quad (D_i \simeq \mathbf{R}_+^{\times d_i}).$$

Let V_i be a linear hull of D_i and $V_i \cap \mathbf{Z}^m$ is denoted as L_i . The zeta values of D_i with respect to the lattice L_i is denoted as $\zeta_{D_i}(l_1|_{D_i}, \dots, l_n|_{D_i}, \chi|_{D_i})$. Then we have

$$(3.2) \quad \zeta_C(l_1, \dots, l_n, \chi) = \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \zeta_{D_i}(l_1|_{D_i}, \dots, l_n|_{D_i}, \chi|_{D_i}).$$

For each i , we can choose a super-lattice $\tilde{L}_i \supset L_i$ with $[\tilde{L}_i : L_i] < \infty$ and $\tilde{L}_i \cap \overline{D_i}$ is generated by d_i elements. By using Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, each term of the right hand side of (3.2) can be express as a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of the integrals of the form (3.1). $\square$

Remark 3.4. *Harmonic shuffle relations for multiple zeta values come from these decompositions.*

4. PREPARATION FOR A DECOMPOSITION BY SIMPLICIAL CONES

In this section, we give some construction of decomposition of a convex cone by rational simplicial cones. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$ be non-zero linear forms on $\mathbf{Q}^n$ and C an n -dimensional rational convex cone.

Notation 4.1. Let α be a linear form on $\mathbf{Q}^n$. The set $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{Q}^\times$ is called a linear form up to constant multiple and denoted as $[\alpha]$.

Definition 4.2. (1) Let Δ be a simplicial cone and α be a linear form on Δ . The linear form α is said to be definite on Δ if $\alpha(\Delta) \geq 0$ or $\alpha(\Delta) \leq 0$.

(2) Let $S = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_l\}$ be a set of linear forms up to constant multiple. A decomposition

$$(4.1) \quad C = \cup_{i=1}^k \Delta_i$$

of C by simplicial cones is said to be compatible with respect to the set S if $\bar{\alpha}_j$ is definite on Δ_i for $j = 1, \dots, l$.

(3) Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$ be linear forms on Δ and $\bar{\alpha}_i = [\alpha_i]$. Let F be a codimension one face of Δ . The set $S = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_l\}$ of linear forms up to constant is said to be non-degenerate with respect to F if the restriction of α_i to F is non-zero for $i = 1, \dots, l$.

We use the following fact

Fact 4.3. Let C and $S = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_l\}$ be as above. Then there exists a decomposition (4.1) with the following properties.

- (1) The decomposition is compatible with respect to S .
- (2) There exists a codimension one face F_i of Δ_i such that the set $S = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_l\}$ is non-degenerate with respect to F_i .

Definition 4.4. (1) A sequence of simplicial cones

$$F : \Delta = \Delta^{(0)} \supset \Delta^{(1)} \supset \dots \supset \Delta^{(n-1)}$$

is called a flag of Δ if $\Delta^{(i)}$ is a codimension i face of $\Delta^{(i)}$. For a flag F , there exists a coordinate $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ of $\mathbf{Q}^n$ such that

- (a) $\Delta = \{\eta_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}$,
- (b) $\Delta^{(i)} = \{(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in \Delta \mid \eta_1 = \dots = \eta_i = 0\}$.

This coordinate is called a standard coordinate for the flag F .

- (2) Let Δ be an n -dimensional simplicial cone, σ be an $(n-i)$ -dimensional face of Δ . Then there exist a unique i dimensional face σ^* of Δ such that $\sigma \cap \sigma^* = \{0\}$. The face σ^* is called the dual face of σ .
- (3) For two convex cones σ_1 and σ_2 , the linear join $\sigma_1 * \sigma_2$ of σ_1 and σ_2 is defined by

$$\sigma_1 * \sigma_2 = \{ax + by \mid a, b \in \mathbf{R}, a, b \geq 0, a + b = 1, x \in \sigma_1, y \in \sigma_2\}.$$

Remark 4.5. (1) If two linear forms α_1 and α_2 are distinct up to constant multiple and $\alpha_1(v) \neq 0, \alpha_2(v) \neq 0$, Then the restriction $(\alpha_1(v)\alpha_2 - \alpha_2(v)\alpha_1) \mid_F$ is non-zero.

- (2) Let F be a flag of n -dimensional simplicial cone Δ and $\Delta^{(n-1)}$ the one dimensional component of the flag F . The dual of $\Delta^{(n-1)}$ in Δ is a codimension one face and denoted as $ir(F)$. The face $ir(F)$ is called the irregular face of the flag F . A face σ of Δ is called a regular face if it is not contained in the irregular face $ir(F)$. Let $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ be a standard coordinate for the flag F . Then an n_σ -dimensional regular face σ is defined by

$$(4.2) \quad \eta_j = 0 \text{ for } j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_{n_\sigma}\},$$

where $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{n_\sigma} = n$. The coordinate $(\eta_{i_1}, \dots, \eta_{i_{n_\sigma}})$ of σ is called the standard coordinate. We put $\eta_{i_1} = \eta_1^\sigma, \dots, \eta_{i_{n_\sigma}} = \eta_{n_\sigma}^\sigma$.

We define the notion of derived sequence of linear forms.

Definition 4.6 (Derived sequence of linear forms). (1) Let Δ be a simplicial cone, F be a codimension one face of Δ and $S = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_l\}$ be a set of linear forms up to constant multiple on Δ which is non-degenerate with respect to F . Let v be a non-zero vector in the dual F^* of F . We define a set $D_F(S)$ of linear forms on F up to constant multiple as the union of

- (a) the set $\{[\alpha|_F] \mid [\alpha] \in S\}$, and
- (b) the set $\{[(\alpha_1(v)\alpha_2 - \alpha_2(v)\alpha_1)|_F] \mid [\alpha_1], [\alpha_2] \in S, \alpha_1(v) \neq 0, \alpha_2 \neq 0\}$.

- (2) Let $F = \{\Delta^{(0)} \supset \Delta^{(1)} \supset \dots \supset \Delta^{(n-1)}\}$ be a flag of Δ and $S^{(i)}$ be a set of linear forms up to constant multiple on $\Delta^{(i)}$. The pair $\{F, \{S^{(i)}\}_i\}$ is called a derived sequence of linear forms if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (a) The set $S^{(i)}$ is non-degenerate with respect to the face $\Delta^{(i+1)}$.
- (b) The set $S^{(i)}$ is definite on $\Delta^{(i)}$.
- (c) $D_{\Delta^{(i+1)}}(S^{(i)}) \subset S^{(i+1)}$.

Proposition 4.7. Let F be a flag of n -dimensional simplicial cone Δ and $(F, \{S^{(i)}\}_i)$ a derived sequence of linear forms.

- (1) The restriction of an element $\alpha \in S^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n-1$) to $\Delta^{(n-1)}$ is non-zero. In other words, using a standard coordinate $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ of Δ , the linear form α can be written as

$$\alpha = \alpha^{(i+1)}\eta_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha^{(n)}\eta_n$$

with $\alpha^{(i+1)} \geq 0, \dots, \alpha^{(n-1)} \geq 0, \alpha^{(n)} > 0$.

- (2) Let σ be a regular face of the flag F . The restriction of an element $\alpha \in S^{(i)}$ to $\sigma \cap \Delta^{(i)}$ is non-zero.
- (3) Let σ be a codimension one regular face of the flag F defined by $\eta_p = 0$. We define a flag of $F_\sigma = \sigma \cap F$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \sigma = \Delta^{(0)} \cap \sigma & \supset & \Delta^{(1)} \cap \sigma & \supset \dots \supset & \Delta^{(p-1)} \cap \sigma = \Delta^{(p)} & \supset \dots & \Delta^{(n-1)} \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \Delta_\sigma^{(0)} & \supset & \Delta_\sigma^{(1)} & \supset \dots \supset & \Delta_\sigma^{(p-1)} & \supset \dots & \Delta_\sigma^{(n-2)} \end{array}$$

For $i < p - 1$, we define a set of linear forms $S_\sigma^{(i)}$ on $\Delta^{(i)} \cap \sigma$ by

$$S_\sigma^{(i)} = \{[\alpha|_{\Delta^{(i)} \cap \sigma}] \mid [\alpha] \in S^{(i)}\}.$$

and for $i \geq p - 1$, we define $S_\sigma^{(i)} = S^{(i+1)}$. Then $(F_\sigma, \{S_\sigma^{(i)}\}_{i=1, \dots, n-2})$ is a derived sequence of linear forms.

Proof. The statement (1) and (2) is obvious from the definition of derived sequence of linear forms.

(3) Let σ^* be the dual face of σ . Then

$$\Delta^{(i-1)} = \sigma^* * \Delta_\sigma^{(i-1)} \supset \Delta^{(i)} = \sigma^* * \Delta_\sigma^{(i)}$$

for $i \leq p - 1$. Therefore we can use common v in Definition 4.6 (1) to define $D_{\Delta_\sigma^{(i)}}(S_\sigma^{(i-1)})$ and $D_{\Delta^{(i)}}(S^{(i-1)})$. As a consequence, for $i \leq p - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\Delta_\sigma^{(i)}}(S_\sigma^{(i-1)}) &= \{[\alpha|_{\Delta_\sigma^{(i)}}] \mid [\alpha] \in D_{\Delta^{(i)}}(S^{(i-1)})\} \\ &\subset \{[\alpha|_{\Delta_\sigma^{(i)}}] \mid [\alpha] \in S^{(i)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{[\alpha|_{\Delta_\sigma^{(i)}}] \mid [\alpha] \in S^{(i)}\} = S_\sigma^{(i)}$ if $i < p - 1$, and

$$\{[\alpha|_{\Delta_\sigma^{(p-1)}}] \mid [\alpha] \in S^{(p-1)}\} \subset S^{(p)} = S_\sigma^{(p-1)},$$

the third condition for a derived sequence for linear forms are satisfied. The first condition is a consequence of the second statement of the proposition, and the second condition is obvious. $\square$

Corollary 4.8. *Let Δ be an n -dimensional simplicial cone, F be a flag of Δ and $\mathcal{D} = (F, \{S^{(i)}\})$ be a derived sequence for F . Let σ be an m -dimensional regular face for F and $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n_\sigma} = n$ be the index set given in (4.2). We set*

- (1) $\Delta_\sigma^{(0)} = \sigma, \Delta_\sigma^{(1)} = \sigma \cap \Delta^{(i_2-1)}, \dots, \Delta_\sigma^{(n_\sigma-1)} = \sigma \cap \Delta^{(i_{n_\sigma}-1)}$ and
- (2)

$$S_\sigma^{(0)} = S^{(i_1-1)}|_{\Delta_\sigma^{(0)}}, S_\sigma^{(1)} = S^{(i_2-1)}|_{\Delta_\sigma^{(1)}}, \dots, S_\sigma^{(n_\sigma-1)} = S^{(i_{n_\sigma}-1)}|_{\Delta_\sigma^{(n_\sigma-1)}}.$$

Then $F_\sigma = \{\Delta_\sigma^{(i)}\}_{i=0, \dots, n_\sigma-1}$ is a flag of σ and $\mathcal{D}_\sigma = (F_\sigma, \{S_\sigma^{(i)}\}_{i=0, \dots, n_\sigma-1})$ is a derived sequence of σ .

Definition 4.9. *The above derived sequence $\mathcal{D}_\sigma$ is called the restriction of $\mathcal{D}$ to σ .*

Proposition 4.10. *Let C be an n -dimensional rational convex cone in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $S = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_l\}$ be a set of linear forms on $\mathbf{Q}^n$ distinct to each other up to constant multiple. Then there exists*

- (1) a decomposition $C = \cup_i^k \tilde{\Delta}_i$ by rational simplicial cones and
- (2) a flag

$$F_i : \tilde{\Delta}_i = \tilde{\Delta}_i^{(0)} \supset \tilde{\Delta}_i^{(1)} \supset \dots \supset \tilde{\Delta}_i^{(n-1)}$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, and

- (3) a sequence of sets of linear forms $S_i^{(0)}, S_i^{(1)}, \dots, S_i^{(n-1)}$ up to constant multiple on $\tilde{\Delta}_i^{(0)}, \tilde{\Delta}_i^{(1)}, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_i^{(n-1)}$

such that pairs $\{F_i, \{S_i^{(j)}\}_j\}$ is a derived sequence for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $S_i^{(0)} = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_l\}$.

Proof. Step 1. We inductively construct the following sets and maps.

- (1) Index sets $I^{(0)}, I^{(1)}, \dots, I^{(n-1)}$ and a surjective map $\rho : I^{(i)} \rightarrow I^{(i-1)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.
- (2) $(n-i)$ -dimensional simplicial cones $\Delta_j^{(i)}$ indexed by $j \in I^{(i)}$.
- (3) A set $S_j^{(i)}$ of linear forms up to constant multiple on $\Delta_j^{(i)}$.

with the following properties:

- (1) For $k \in I^{(i-1)}$,

$$E_k^{(i-1)} = \cup_{\rho(j)=k} \Delta_j^{(i)}$$

is a decomposition by simplicial cones of a codimension one face of $\Delta_k^{(i-1)}$.

- (2) The set $S_k^{(i-1)}$ is non-degenerate with respect to the face $E_k^{(i-1)}$ of $\Delta_k^{(i-1)}$.
- (3) Each element in $S_k^{(i-1)}$ is definite on $\Delta_k^{(i-1)}$.
- (4) $S_j^{(i)}$ is equal to $D_{E_{\rho(j)}^{(i-1)}}(S_{\rho(j)}^{(i-1)})$.

Step 2. Let $\rho_i : I^{(n-1)} \rightarrow I^{(i)}$ be the successive composite of ρ . We inductively construct the following decomposition of $\Delta_j^{(i)}$ for $j \in I^{(i)}$:

$$(4.3) \quad \Delta_j^{(i)} = \cup_{\rho_i(p)=j} \tilde{\Delta}_p^{(i)}.$$

For $i = n-1$, we put $\tilde{\Delta}_p^{(n-1)} = \Delta_p^{(n-1)}$ for $p \in I^{(n-1)}$. We construct a decomposition of $\Delta_k^{(i-1)}$ for $k \in I^{(i-1)}$ using decompositions (4.3) of $\Delta_j^{(i)}$ for $j \in I^{(i)}$. The dual simplex of $E_k^{(i-1)}$ in $\Delta_k^{(i-1)}$ is denoted by $G_k^{(i-1)}$. For $p \in I^{(n-1)}$, we put $\tilde{\Delta}_p^{(i-1)} = \tilde{\Delta}_p^{(i)} * G_{\rho_{i-1}(p)}^{(i-1)}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k^{(i-1)} &= E_k^{(i-1)} * G_k^{(i-1)} \\ &= (\cup_{\rho(j)=k} \Delta_j^{(i)}) * G_k^{(i-1)} \\ &= (\cup_{\rho_{i-1}(p)=k} \tilde{\Delta}_p^{(i)}) * G_k^{(i-1)} \\ &= \cup_{\rho_{i-1}(p)=k} \tilde{\Delta}_p^{(i-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we have a decomposition

$$\Delta^{(0)} = \cup_{p \in I^{(n-1)}} \tilde{\Delta}_p^{(0)}.$$

of $\Delta^{(0)}$. On the simplicial cone $\tilde{\Delta}_p^{(i-1)}$, we have a flag

$$F_p : \tilde{\Delta}_p^{(0)} \supset \tilde{\Delta}_p^{(1)} \supset \dots \supset \tilde{\Delta}_p^{(n-1)}.$$

For $p \in I^{(n-1)}$, we have a inclusion $\tilde{\Delta}_p^{(i)} \subset \Delta_{\rho_i(p)}^{(i)}$. The restriction of $S_{\rho_i(p)}^{(i)}$ to $\tilde{\Delta}_p^{(i)}$ is denoted as $S_p^{(i)}$. Then we have

$$S_p^{(i)} = D_{\tilde{\Delta}_p^{(i-1)}}(S_p^{(i-1)})$$

and the pair $(F_j, \{S_j^{(i)}\}_j)$ is a derived sequence of linear forms. $\square$

Let Δ be an n -dimensional simplicial cone, F be a flag on Δ and $\mathcal{D} = (F, \{S^{(i)}\}_i)$ be a derived sequence of linear forms. For a regular face σ of Δ , the restriction of $\mathcal{D}$ to σ is denoted as $\mathcal{D}_\sigma$. We fix a standard coordinate $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ of Δ with respect to the flag F . Let $e_1, \dots, e_n \geq 1$ be natural numbers. Then the coordinate $(\tilde{\eta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\eta}_n)$ defined by $\eta_i = e_i \tilde{\eta}_i$ is also a standard coordinate for F . Then the linear form $\alpha = \alpha^{(1)}\eta_1 + \dots + \alpha^{(n)}\eta_n$ is transformed into

$$\alpha = (e_1\alpha^{(1)})\tilde{\eta}_1 + \dots + (e_n\alpha^{(n)})\tilde{\eta}_n$$

with respect to the coordinate $(\tilde{\eta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\eta}_n)$.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (F, \{S^{(i)}\})$ be a derived sequence of linear forms on F , $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ be a standard coordinate of Δ for F . Then there exist natural numbers $e_1, \dots, e_n \geq 1$ with the following property: By changing coordinate $(\tilde{\eta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\eta}_n)$ with a relation $\eta_i = e_i \tilde{\eta}_i$, any element $\bar{\alpha} \in S_\sigma^{(i)}$ such that $\bar{\alpha}(v) \neq 0$ for a non-zero vector v in $(\Delta_\sigma^{(i+1)})^*$ has a representative*

$$\alpha = \alpha_{i+1}\tilde{\eta}_{i+1}^\sigma + \dots + \alpha_{n_\sigma}\tilde{\eta}_{n_\sigma}^\sigma$$

such that $\alpha_{i+1} = 1$ and $\alpha_j \in \mathbf{N}$ for $j \geq i+1$.

Definition 4.12. (1) *The representative $\alpha = (\alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with the above property is called the primitive representative. The standard coordinate $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ is called primitive with respect to the derived sequence $\mathcal{D}$ if it has the property of the above lemma.*

(2) *Let $\mathcal{D} = (F, \{S^{(i)}\}_i)$ be a derived sequence of linear forms and v a non-zero element of $(\Delta^{(i+1)})^*$. The set of primitive representative of the subset*

$$\{\bar{\alpha} \in S^{(i)} \mid \bar{\alpha}(v) \neq 0\}$$

of $S^{(i)}$ is called the variable part of $S^{(i)}$ and denoted as $S_{var}^{(i)}$.

5. THE SECOND REDUCTION, DEFINITE INTEGRAL OF TYPE S AND TYPE $\mathcal{D}$

Let Δ be an n -dimensional simplicial cone and F a flag of Δ , We choose a primitive standard coordinate $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ of Δ with respect to the flag F . Let $S = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_l\}$ be a set of distinct definite non-zero rational linear forms on Δ up to constant. For a linear form

$$(5.1) \quad \alpha = \alpha^{(1)}\eta_1 + \dots + \alpha^{(n)}\eta_n \text{ on } \Delta \text{ such that } \alpha^{(i)} \in \mathbf{N},$$

y^α denotes a monomial in $\mathbf{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ defined by $y^\alpha = y_1^{\alpha^{(1)}} \dots y_n^{\alpha^{(n)}}$.

Definition 5.1. (1) We consider a rational function of the form

$$(5.2) \quad L = \prod_{i=1}^p \left(\frac{e_i y^{\alpha_i}}{1 - e_i y^{\alpha_i}} \right)^{\mu_i},$$

where α_i is a linear form on Δ with the condition (5.1) and e_i is an element of μ_N for some $N \in \mathbf{N}$. The integral

$$(5.3) \quad I = \int_{(0,1)^n} L(y_1, \dots, y_n) \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \dots \frac{dy_n}{y_n}$$

is called a definite integral of type S if $[\alpha_i] \in S$ ($i = 1, \dots, p$) and the integral (5.3) converges absolutely.

(2) Let F be a flag of Δ . For a derived sequence $\mathcal{D} = (F, \{S^{(i)}\}_i)$, the integral (5.3) is called a definite integral of type $\mathcal{D}$ if $\alpha_i \in \coprod_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{var}^{(i)}$ and the integral converges absolutely.

Example 5.2.

The integral of the right hand side of (3.1) is a definite integral of type S , where

$$S = \{[\alpha_1], \dots, [\alpha_m]\},$$

$$\alpha_i = l_{1i}\eta_1 + \dots + l_{ni}\eta_n \quad (i = 1, \dots, m).$$

Proposition 5.3. Let Δ be an n -dimensional simplicial cone and $S = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_l\}$ be a set of linear forms on Δ up to constant multiple such that $\bar{\alpha}_i$ is definite on Δ . Let I be a definite integral of type S . Then there exists

- (1) a finite number of n -simplexes $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k$,
- (2) a flag F_j of Δ_j for $j = 1, \dots, k$,
- (3) a derived sequences of linear forms $\mathcal{D}_j = (F_j, \{S_j^{(i)}\})$ ($j = 1, \dots, k$)
with $S_j^{(0)} = S$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and
- (4) and a definite integral I_j of type $\mathcal{D}_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$

such that I is $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of $I_1, \dots, I_k$.

Proof. We apply the construction of Proposition 4.10 for Δ and $S = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_l\}$ and we get a decomposition

$$(5.4) \quad \Delta = \cup_{j \in I} \tilde{\Delta}_j$$

of simplicial cones, a flag F_j on $\tilde{\Delta}_j$ and a derived sequence $\mathcal{D}_j = (F_j, \{S_j^{(i)}\})$ with the properties of Proposition 4.10. We fix a standard coordinate $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ of Δ . For each j , we choose a coordinate $(\eta_1^{(j)}, \dots, \eta_n^{(j)})$ of $\tilde{\Delta}_j$ with a relation $\eta_i = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}^{(j)} \eta_k^{(j)}$ with the property of Lemma 4.11. We introduce a new variable $y_1^{(j)}, \dots, y_n^{(j)}$ and define a monomial $y_1, \dots, y_n$ of $y_1^{(j)}, \dots, y_n^{(j)}$ by

$$(5.5) \quad y_i = y_i(y_1^{(j)}, \dots, y_n^{(j)}) = (y_1^{(j)})^{a_{i1}^{(j)}} \dots (y_n^{(j)})^{a_{in}^{(j)}}$$

Since $(0, 1)^n = \{\exp(-\eta) \mid \eta \in \Delta\}$, by the decomposition (5.4), we have a decomposition of $(0, 1)^n = \cup_{j \in I} D_j$, where

$$\begin{aligned} D_i &= \{\exp(-\eta) \mid \eta \in \tilde{\Delta}_j\} \\ &= \{(y_1(y_1^{(j)}, \dots, y_n^{(j)}), \dots, y_n(y_1^{(j)}, \dots, y_n^{(j)})) \mid y_1^{(j)} \in (0, 1), \dots, y_n^{(j)} \in (0, 1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the integral (5.3) is equal to

$$\int_{(0,1)^n} L(y_1, \dots, y_n) \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \dots \frac{dy_n}{y_n} = \sum_{j \in I} \int_{D_j} L(y_1, \dots, y_n) \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \dots \frac{dy_n}{y_n}.$$

For a linear form α , a monomial y^α can be regarded as a monomial of $y_1^{(j)}, \dots, y_n^{(j)}$ by the relation (5.5), which is denoted as $(y^{(j)})^{\alpha^{(j)}}$. By changing coordinate of integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.6) \quad & \int_{D_j} \prod_{i=1}^p \left(\frac{e_i y^{\alpha_i}}{1 - e_i y^{\alpha_i}} \right)^{\mu_i} \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \dots \frac{dy_n}{y_n} \\ &= A^{(j)} \int_{(0,1)^n} \prod_{i=1}^p \left(\frac{e_i (y^{(j)})^{\alpha_i^{(j)}}}{1 - e_i (y^{(j)})^{\alpha_i^{(j)}}} \right)^{\mu_i} \frac{dy_1^{(j)}}{y_1^{(j)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dy_n^{(j)}}{y_n^{(j)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A^{(j)} = \det(a_{ij}^{(j)})$. Then by the property of the coordinate in Lemma 4.11, we have $\alpha_i^{(j)} = e_i \alpha_i^{(j)'} with $\alpha_i^{(j)'} \in S_{j,var}^{(p)}$ for some p and $e_i \in \mathbf{N}^\times$. Using the equality$

$$\frac{aw^e}{1 - aw^e} = \prod_{b^e=a} \left(1 + \frac{bw}{1 - bw} \right) - 1,$$

the second line of (5.6) is expressed as a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of definite integrals of type $\mathcal{D}_j$. $\square$

Remark 5.4. *Shuffle relations for iterated integrals come from these decompositions.*

6. THE THIRD REDUCTION, DEFINITE INTEGRAL OF TYPE $\mathcal{D}$ AND UNI-FACTOR INTEGRAL OF TYPE $\mathcal{D}$

Let Δ be an n -dimensional simplicial cones, F a flag on Δ and $\mathcal{D} = (F, \{S^{(i)}\}_i)$ be a derived sequence of linear forms. We consider a function $I(y_n)$ of y_n defined by the following integral.

$$(6.1) \quad I(y_n) = \int_{(0,1)^{n-1}} L(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n) \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \dots \frac{dy_{n-1}}{y_{n-1}}.$$

where

$$L(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^p \frac{e_i y^{\alpha_i}}{(1 - e_i y^{\alpha_i})^{\mu_i}} \text{ with } \alpha_i \in \prod_j S_{var}^{(j)}, e_i \in \mu_\infty$$

and $\mu_i \geq 1$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $y_n \in (0, 1)$. Then the followings are equivalent.*

(1) *The rational function*

$$\frac{L(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{y_1 \cdots y_{n-1}}$$

is bounded on $(0, 1)^{n-1} \times \{y_n\}$.

(2) *The numerator of $L(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is divisible by y_i for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.*

(3) *The integral (6.1) converges absolutely.*

The integral (6.1) is called a uni-factor integral of type $\mathcal{D}$ if

$$L(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} L_i(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n)$$

$$L_i(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{e_i y^{\alpha_i}}{(1 - e_i y^{\alpha_i})^{\mu_i}} \text{ with } \alpha_i \in S_{var}^{(i)}, \mu_i \geq 1, e_i \in \mu_\infty, \text{ or} \\ 1 \end{cases},$$

and the equivalent conditions in Lemma 6.1 are satisfied.

In the rest of this section, we express the integral (6.1) as a linear combination of “uni-factor integral”.

Proposition 6.2. *The integral $I(y_n)$ in (6.1) can be written as a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of uni-factor integrals of type $\mathcal{D}$. Moreover if the numerator of L in the expression (6.1) of the integral $I(y_n)$ is divisible by y_n , then it can be written as a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of uni-factor integral such that the numerator of L in (6.1) is divisible by y_n .*

Proof.

The subset of $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ consisting of elements dividing the numerator of $L(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is called the zero set of $L(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and denoted by $Z(L(y_1, \dots, y_n))$. Let p ($1 \leq p \leq n$) be an integer. By the induction of p , we prove that the integral (6.1) can be expressed as a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of integrals of the form

$$\int_{(0,1)^{n-p-1}} M^{(p)}(y_{p+1}, \dots, y_n) \frac{dy_{p+1}}{y_{p+1}} \cdots \frac{dy_{n-1}}{y_{n-1}} \int_{(0,1)^p} N^{(p)}(y_1, \dots, y_n) \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \cdots \frac{dy_p}{y_p},$$

where

$$M^{(p)}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{f_i y^{\beta_i}}{(1 - f_i y^{\beta_i})^{\nu_i}} \text{ with } \beta_i \in \coprod_{j \geq p} S_{var}^{(j)}, \nu_i \geq 1, f_i \in \mu_\infty$$

$$N^{(p)}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} N_i^{(p)}(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n)$$

$$N_i^{(p)}(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{e_i y^{\alpha_i}}{(1 - e_i y^{\alpha_i})^{\mu_i}} \text{ with } \alpha_i \in S_{var}^{(i)}, \mu_i \geq 1, e_i \in \mu_\infty, \text{ or} \\ 1 \end{cases},$$

with $Z(M^{(p)} N^{(p)}) \supset \{y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$. To proceed the induction, it is enough to prove the following lemma. $\square$

Lemma 6.3. *A rational function*

$$M(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{e_i y^{\alpha_i}}{(1 - e_i y^{\alpha_i})^{\mu_i}} \text{ with } \alpha_i \in S_{var}^{(p)}, e_i \in \mu_\infty$$

can be expressed as a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of rational functions of the form

$$N = \frac{f y^\beta}{(1 - f y^\beta)^\nu} \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{e_i y^{\alpha_i}}{(1 - e_i y^{\alpha_i})^{\mu_i}} \text{ with } \beta \in S_{var}^{(p)}, \alpha_i \in \prod_{j \geq p+1} S_{var}^{(j)}, f, e_i \in \mu_\infty$$

such that $Z(N) \supset Z(M)$.

Proof. We prove the lemma by the induction of $\sum_{i=1}^l \mu_i$. If $l = 1$, then there is nothing to prove. We assume $l \geq 2$ and $\frac{e_1 y^{\alpha_1}}{(1 - e_1 y^{\alpha_1})^{\mu_1}}$ and $\frac{e_2 y^{\alpha_2}}{(1 - e_2 y^{\alpha_2})^{\mu_2}}$ have distinct factors of denominators. We put $e_1 y^{\alpha_1} = y_{p+1} \gamma_1$, $e_2 y^{\alpha_2} = y_{p+1} \gamma_2$. By the property of derived sequence, we may assume $\gamma_2/\gamma_1 = (e_2/e_1) \cdot y^\delta \in \mathbf{Q}[y_{p+2}, \dots, y_n]$ and $[\delta] \in S^{(p+1)}$. On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{\gamma_1 y_{p+1}}{1 - \gamma_1 y_{p+1}} \frac{\gamma_2 y_{p+1}}{1 - \gamma_2 y_{p+1}} = \frac{\gamma_2/\gamma_1}{(1 - \gamma_2/\gamma_1)} \frac{\gamma_1 y_{p+1}}{1 - \gamma_1 y_{p+1}} + \left(\frac{-\gamma_2/\gamma_1}{1 - \gamma_2/\gamma_1} - 1 \right) \frac{\gamma_2 y_{p+1}}{1 - \gamma_2 y_{p+1}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z\left(\frac{\gamma_1 y_{p+1}}{1 - \gamma_1 y_{p+1}} \frac{\gamma_2 y_{p+1}}{1 - \gamma_2 y_{p+1}}\right) &= Z\left(\frac{\gamma_2/\gamma_1}{(1 - \gamma_2/\gamma_1)} \frac{\gamma_1 y_{p+1}}{1 - \gamma_1 y_{p+1}}\right) \\ &= Z\left(\frac{\gamma_2 y_{p+1}}{1 - \gamma_2 y_{p+1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying both sides by

$$\frac{1}{(1 - e_1 y^{\alpha_1})^{\mu_1-1}} \frac{1}{(1 - e_2 y^{\alpha_2})^{\mu_2-1}} \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{e_i y^{\alpha_i}}{(1 - e_i y^{\alpha_i})^{\mu_i}},$$

using the relation

$$\frac{1}{(1 - e_i y^{\alpha_i})} = \frac{e_i y^{\alpha_i}}{(1 - e_i y^{\alpha_i})} + 1,$$

we have the statement of the lemma by the assumption of the induction for $\sum_{i=1}^l \mu_i$. $\square$

Corollary 6.4. *For a definite integral I in (5.3), there exist a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination $I(y_n)$ of uni-factor integrals such that $I(0) = 0$ and*

$$I = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \int_0^t I(y_n) \frac{dy_n}{y_n}.$$

7. UNI-FACTOR INTEGRAL OF TYPE $\mathcal{D}$ AND SIMPLE UNI-FACTOR INTEGRAL OF TYPE $\mathcal{D}$

Let Δ be an n -dimensional simplex and F be a flag of Δ . Let σ be a regular face of dimension n_σ for the flag F . Let $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{n_\sigma} = n$ be the index set given in Remark 4.5 (4.2) and $(\eta_1^\sigma, \dots, \eta_{n_\sigma}^\sigma)$ be the standard coordinate of σ . We consider an embedding

$$(\mathbf{C}^\times)^{n_\sigma} \subset (\mathbf{C}^\times)^n$$

defined by

$$(y_1^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma) \mapsto (1, \dots, 1, \overset{i_1}{y_1^\sigma}, 1, \dots, 1, \overset{i_2}{y_2^\sigma}, 1, \dots, 1, \overset{i_{n_\sigma}}{y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma})$$

We generalize the notion of uni-factor integral by introducing the notion of weight. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a derived sequence of linear forms and the restriction of $\mathcal{D}$ to σ is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_\sigma = (F, \{S_\sigma^{(i)}\})$. Let $k \leq n - 1$. We consider a rational function

(7.1)

$$L = L(y_1^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma) = \prod_{i=0}^{n_\sigma-1} L_i(y_{i+1}^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma)$$

(7.2)

$$L_i(y_{i+1}^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{e_i y^{\sigma \alpha_i}}{(1 - e_i y^{\sigma \alpha_i})^{\mu_i}} & \text{with } \alpha_i \in S_{\sigma, var}^{(i)}, e_i \in \mu_\infty, \text{ or} \\ 1 & \end{cases},$$

satisfying the condition

(7.3) The numerator of $L(y_1^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma)$ is divisible by y_i^σ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Since $\prod_{i=k}^{n_\sigma-1} L_i$ is independent of $y_1^\sigma, \dots, y_k^\sigma$, the condition (7.3) is equivalent to the condition

The numerator of $\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} L_i(y_{i+1}^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma)$ is divisible by y_i^σ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Then the integral

$$(7.4) \quad I(y_{k+1}^\sigma, y_{k+2}^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma) = \int_{(0,1)^k} L(y_1^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma) \frac{dy_1^\sigma}{y_1^\sigma} \cdots \frac{dy_k^\sigma}{y_k^\sigma}.$$

is a function of $(y_{k+1}^\sigma, y_{k+2}^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma)$.

Definition 7.1. (1) The integral (7.4) is called a uni-factor integral of type $\mathcal{D}_\sigma$ of weight k .

(2) A uni-factor integral is said to be simple, if $L_i = 1$ or $\mu_i = 1$ for $i \leq k - 1$ and $L_k = L_{k+1} = \cdots = L_{n_\sigma-1} = 1$ in the expression (7.2).

(3) The subset of $\{y_1^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma\}$ consisting of elements dividing the numerator of $L(y_1^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma)$ is called the zero set of $L(y_1^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma)$ and denoted by $Z(L(y_1^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma))$. For a uni-factor integral (7.4), $Z(L(y_1^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma)) -$

$\{y_1^\sigma, \dots, y_{k_\sigma}^\sigma\}$ is called the zero set of the uni-factor integral and denoted by $Z(I(y_{k+1}^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma))$.

If $y_i \in Z(I(y_{k+1}^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma))$, then $I(y_{k+1}^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma) |_{y_i=0} = 0$. The set of functions on $(y_{k+1}^\sigma, \dots, y_{n_\sigma}^\sigma)$ generated by uni-factor (resp. simple uni-factor) integrals of weight k is denoted by $\mathcal{U}_{\sigma,k}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma,k}$).

We consider three sequence of statements. We use $n = n^\sigma$ and $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ for $(y_1^\sigma, \dots, y_n^\sigma)$ for simplicity.

- ($A_{\sigma,k}$) Let $h(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$ be an element of $\mathcal{U}_{\sigma,k}$ and set $Z = Z(h(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n))$. Then $h(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$ can be written as a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of $M(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)f(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$, where
- (a) $f(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma',k'}$ with $k' \leq k, \sigma' \leq \sigma$,
 - (b)

$$(7.5) \quad M(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=k}^{n-1} M_i(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n),$$

$$(7.6) \quad M_i(y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{ey_i^\alpha}{(1 - ey_i^\alpha)^{\nu_{\alpha_i}}} & \text{with } \alpha_i \in S_{\sigma, var}^{(i)} \\ 1, & \end{cases}$$

and

- (c) $Z \subset Z(M(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)f(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n))$
- ($B_{\sigma,k}$) Let $h(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$ be an element of $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma,k}$ and set $Z = Z(h(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n))$. Then for any $i \in [k+1, n]$, $y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} h(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$ can be written as a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of $f(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{U}_{\sigma',k'}$ with $k' < k$ and $Z \subset Z(f(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n))$
- (C_σ) The statement ($A_{\sigma,k}$) and ($B_{\sigma,k}$) holds for all k .

Proposition 7.2.

$$\begin{aligned} & (C_{\sigma'} \text{ for } \sigma' < \sigma) \text{ and} \\ & (A_{\sigma,k'} \text{ for } k' < k) \text{ and} \Rightarrow (A_{\sigma,k}) \\ & (B_{\sigma,k'} \text{ for } k' < k) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $f = f(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$ be an element of $\mathcal{U}_{\sigma,k}$. Then f can be written as

$$f(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n) = \int_0^1 g(y_k, \dots, y_n) \frac{dy_k}{y_k},$$

where $g \in \mathcal{U}_{\sigma,k-1}$. We put $Z(g(y_k, \dots, y_n)) = Z \cup \{y_k\}$. By the inductive hypothesis, the function $g(y_k, \dots, y_1)$ can be written as a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of $M(y_k, \dots, y_n)h(y_k, \dots, y_n)$ where (1) $h(y_k, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma, var}^{(k-1)}$, (2) $M = \prod_{i=k-1}^{n-1} M_i$ where M_i is given in the form (7.6), and (3) $Z(g(y_k, \dots, y_n)) \subset Z(M(y_k, \dots, y_n)h(y_k, \dots, y_n))$.

(I) The case $M_{k-1} = 1$. In this case $y_k \in Z(h)$ and the integral

$$\int_0^1 h(y_k, \dots, y_n) \frac{dy_k}{y_k}$$

is simple uni-factor integral by definition.

(II) The case where the $(k-1)$ -th factor of M is given as $M_{k-1} = \frac{ey^{\alpha_{k-1}}}{(1 - ey^{\alpha_{k-1}})^{e_{k-1}}}$.

We put $y^{\alpha_{k-1}} = py_k$ with a monomial $p = p(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$. If $e_{k-1} = 1$, the integral

$$(7.7) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{epy_k}{(1 - epy_k)^{e_{k-1}}} h(y_k, \dots, y_n) \frac{dy_k}{y_k}$$

is an element in $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma,k}$. If $e_{k-1} > 1$, then the integral (7.7) is equal to

$$(7.8) \quad \frac{1}{e_{k-1} - 1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{(1 - epy_k)^{e_{k-1}-1}} - 1 \right) h(y_k, \dots, y_n) \right]_{y_k=0}^1$$

$$(7.9) \quad -\frac{1}{e_{k-1} - 1} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{(1 - epy_k)^{e_{k-1}-1}} - 1 \right) \left(y_k \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} h(y_k, \dots, y_n) \right) \frac{dy_k}{y_k}$$

The first term (7.8) can be written as a uni-factor integral of weight less than k by the equality

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^m} = 1 + \frac{x}{1-x} + \frac{x}{(1-x)^2} + \dots + \frac{x}{(1-x)^m}.$$

By the assumption $(B_{\sigma,k-1})$, the second term (7.9) can be written as a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of uni-factor integral of weight less than k . We can see that the zero set of each term in (7.8) and (7.9) contains $Z(M_{k-1}h) - \{y_k\}$. $\square$

Proposition 7.3.

$$(B_{\sigma,k'} \text{ for } k' < k) \Rightarrow (B_{\sigma,k})$$

Proof. Let $f = f(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$ be an element of $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma,k}$. Then f can be written as

$$f(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n) = \int_0^1 L_{k-1}(y_k, \dots, y_n) g(y_k, \dots, y_n) \frac{dy_k}{y_k},$$

where $g \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma,k-1}$, $L_{k-1} = \frac{ey^{\alpha_{k-1}}}{1 - ey^{\alpha_{k-1}}}$ with $\alpha_{k-1} \in S_{\sigma}^{(k-1)}$ or 1.

(I) If $L_{k-1} = 1$, then $Z(f) = Z(g) - \{y_k\}$ and

$$y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} f(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n) = \int_0^1 y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} g(y_k, \dots, y_n) \frac{dy_k}{y_k},$$

and by inductive hypothesis, it is a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of uni-factor integral of weight less than k .

(II) If $L_{k-1} = \frac{ey^{\alpha_{k-1}}}{1 - ey^{\alpha_{k-1}}}$, then $Z(f) = Z(L_{k-1}g(y_k, \dots, y_1))$. We have

$$(7.10) \quad \begin{aligned} y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} f(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n) &= \int_0^1 \frac{ey^{\alpha_{k-1}}}{1 - ey^{\alpha_{k-1}}} y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} g(y_k, \dots, y_n) \frac{dy_k}{y_k} \\ &+ \int_0^1 \frac{cey^{\alpha_{k-1}}}{(1 - ey^{\alpha_{k-1}})^2} g(y_k, \dots, y_n) \frac{dy_k}{y_k} \end{aligned}$$

Here c is the coefficient of α_{k-1} on η_i . The second term (7.10) is equal to

$$\left[\frac{cey^{\alpha_{k-1}}}{1 - ey^{\alpha_{k-1}}} g(y_k, \dots, g_n) \right]_{y_k=0}^1 - \int_0^1 \frac{cey^{\alpha_{k-1}}}{1 - ey^{\alpha_{k-1}}} y_k \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} g(y_k, \dots, g_n) \frac{dy_k}{y_k}.$$

By inductive hypothesis, we have the proposition. $\square$

8. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

By Proposition 7.2 and Proposition 7.3, we have the following proposition

Proposition 8.1. (1) *An element $f(y_n)$ in $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta, n-1}$ can be written as a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of*

$$\frac{1}{(1 - ey_n)^\mu} g(y_n)$$

with $\mu \geq 0$, $g(y_n) \in \mathcal{S}_{\Delta, n-1}$.

(2) *For an element $f(y_n) \in \mathcal{S}_{\Delta, n-1}$,*

$$y_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} f(y_n)$$

is an element of $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta, n-2}$.

Definition 8.2. (1) *For a differential form $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$ on x , an iterated integral is defined inductively by*

$$\int_0^y \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_k = \int_0^y (\omega_1(z) \int_0^z \omega_2 \cdots \omega_k)$$

if $k \geq 2$ and usual one if $k = 1$.

(2) *A function on y defined by*

$$\int_0^y \left(\frac{dx}{x} \right)^{k_1-1} \frac{dx}{1 - e_1 x} \left(\frac{dx}{x} \right)^{k_2-1} \frac{dx}{1 - e_2 x} \cdots \left(\frac{dx}{x} \right)^{k_m-1} \frac{dx}{1 - e_m x},$$

where $k_i \geq 1$, $e \in \mu_\infty$ is called a multiple polylogarithm of weight $k = k_1 + \cdots + k_m$.

(3) *Let $\mathcal{P}_k$ be a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of $\frac{1}{(1 - ey)^\mu} h(y)$, where $\mu \geq 0$ and $h(y)$ is a multiple polylogarithm of weight less than or equal to k .*

Proposition 8.3. *Let $f(y)$ be an element of $\mathcal{P}_k$. Then*

$$\int_0^y \frac{1}{(1 - et)^\nu} f(t) dt, \quad (\nu \geq 1) \quad \int_0^y \frac{1}{t} f(t) dt$$

are elements of $\mathcal{P}_{k+1}$.

Proof. We prove by the induction of k . Let $f(y)$ be a multiple polylogarithm of weight k and we show that the integral $\int_0^y \frac{1}{(1 - et)^\nu} f(t) dt$ is an element of

$\mathcal{P}_{k+1}$ by the induction on ν . If $\nu = 1$, the statement is true by the definition of multiple polylogarithm. If $\nu \geq 2$, we have

$$(8.1) \quad \int_0^y \frac{1}{(1-et)^\nu} f(t) dt$$

$$(8.2) \quad = \left[\frac{1}{e(\nu-1)(1-et)^{\nu-1}} f(t) \right]_0^y$$

$$(8.3) \quad - \frac{1}{e(\nu-1)} \int_0^y \frac{1}{(1-et)^{\nu-1}} \frac{d}{dt} f(t) dt$$

The first term (8.2) is an element in $\mathcal{P}_{k+1}$ by the hypothesis of induction on ν . The second term (8.3) is an element in $\mathcal{P}_k$ by the hypothesis of induction on k . As a consequence, the integral (8.1) is an element of $\mathcal{P}_{k+1}$. $\square$

By Proposition 8.1, Proposition 8.3, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 8.4. $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta,k} \subset \mathcal{P}_k$.

Theorem 8.5. *If the definite integral (5.3) is absolutely convergent, it is an element in $\mathcal{Z}_\infty$.*

Proof. We apply Theorem 8.4 to the function $I(y_n)$ defined in (6.1). We put

$$f(y) = \int_0^y I(y_n) \frac{dy_n}{y_n}$$

Then by Proposition 8.3, $f(y) \in \mathcal{P}_k$ for some k and $\lim_{y \rightarrow 1} f(y) = I$ exists. Since $f(y)$ can be written as

$$\sum_{m < 0} \frac{1}{(1-y)^m} h_m(y) + \sum_{\{(e,m) | e \neq 1 \text{ or } m=0\}} \frac{1}{(1-ey)^m} H_{e,m}(y)$$

where $h_m(y)$ and $H_{e,m}(y)$ is a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of multiple polylogarithm. By the theory of regularization in [IKZ], [R], $h_m(y)$ and $H_{e,m}$ can be written as

$$h_m(y) = \sum_{i=0}^N a_{m,i} (-\log(1-y))^i + 0((1-x)^\epsilon)$$

$$H_{e,m}(y) = \sum_{i=0}^N a_{e,m,i} (-\log(1-y))^i + 0((1-x)^\epsilon),$$

where $a_{m,i}$ and $a_{e,m,i}$ is a $\mathbf{Q}^{ab}$ -linear combination of cyclotomic multiple zeta values. ([IKZ],[R]). Since $\lim_{y \rightarrow 1} f(y)$ exists, we have $a_{m,i} = 0$ for $m > 0, i \geq 0$ and $a_{e,m,i} = 0$ for $i > 0$. Therefore we have

$$I = \sum_{\{(e,m) | e \neq 1 \text{ or } m=0\}} \frac{a_{e,m,0}}{(1-e)^m}.$$

$\square$

REFERENCES

- [IKZ] Ihara, K.-Kaneko, M.-Zagier, D.: *Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values*, preprint in <http://www.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/html/preprints/preprints.html>, MPIM2004-100
- [R] Racinet, G.: *Doubles mélanges des polylogarithmes multiples aux racines de l'unité*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. No. 95 (2002), 185–231.
- [Z] Zagier, D.: *Values of zeta functions and their applications*, Progr. Math. 120, (1994), 497–512.